

Intrazeolite Attachment of a Ge–Mo Heterobimetallic Complex

Aticha Borvornwattananont, Karin Moller, and Thomas Bein*

Department of Chemistry, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, U.S.A.

HY zeolite encapsulated $\text{Cl}_2(\text{thf})\text{GeMo}(\text{CO})_5$ (thf = tetrahydrofuran), studied by EXAFS, *in situ* Fourier transform spectroscopy, and temperature programmed desorption (TPD) MS, attaches to the zeolite pore system through the Ge-moiety with retention of the Ge–Mo bond, whereas a large fraction of the intrazeolite $-\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_5$ moieties remain unaffected by the anchoring reaction.

The structural and chemical features of zeolites, *i.e.*, crystalline, open pore structures with well-defined co-ordination sites, ion exchange capability, and controlled acidity, make them promising candidates for selective hybrid systems. However, the instability of many zeolite catalyst systems


under reaction conditions has been a limiting factor for applications. Different approaches to zeolite-based hybrid catalysts include physisorption of neutral metal carbonyls¹ (weak binding at metal cations² does not always prevent diffusion and agglomeration), cation-derived species such as Rh-carbonyls,³ assembly of diffusively blocked large complexes such as Co- and Fe-phthalocyanines,⁴ and the use of zeolite hydroxy groups for attaching, *e.g.*, Rh(allyl)₃⁵ or organoiron complexes.⁶

We have explored a new approach, introducing heterobinuclear organometallic compounds as candidates for linking catalytic functions to zeolite frameworks. With two different metals present, the complexes can be anchored to the support *via* one oxophilic metal, whereas catalytic reactions may proceed at the second metal centre.

Zeolite HY, derived from Linde LZ-Y62 [(NH₄)₄₅Na₁₀Al₅₅Si₁₃₇O₃₈₄] by degassing at 700 K for 10 h (1 K min⁻¹), was used as a support. A slurry of 0.500 g of the zeolite in 50 ml of pentane was loaded, stirring under nitrogen for 12 h with 1 molecule of $\text{Cl}_2(\text{thf})\text{GeMo}(\text{CO})_5$ (**1**) (thf = tetrahydrofuran) per supercage, prepared from Na₂[Mo₂(CO)₁₀] and GeCl₄ in THF at 120 K.⁷ The solvent was removed by filtration on a frit. EXAFS data at the Ge K-edge (11 103 eV) and the Mo K-edge (20 000 eV) of sealed samples at 100 K were obtained at NSLS (Brookhaven National Laboratories) at beamline X-11A. The EXAFS data were analysed using structural parameters taken from appropriate reference compounds.

The EXAFS data of the precursor $\text{Cl}_2(\text{thf})\text{GeMo}(\text{CO})_5$ (**1**) (no crystal structure has been published) show, at the Ge edge, the expected co-ordination to thf (Ge–O_{1.1} at 2.06 Å), to Cl (Ge–Cl_{2.0} at 2.15 Å), and the Ge–Mo bond at 2.64 Å (Figure 1A). The corresponding Mo-edge data reveal Mo–CO at 2.05, Mo–CO at 3.18, and Mo–Ge co-ordination at 2.68 Å.

If (**1**) is diffused into the acid zeolite host at 295 K [HY/(**1**)], no substantial changes in the FTIR spectrum of the precursor are observed, indicating the presence of intact $-\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_5$ moieties in the zeolite. We note that the strong CO-stretch of (**1**) in a NaY host shifts to lower frequency, probably due to Na⁺–OC– interactions similar to those of nickel carbonyl complexes in NaY.² The Ge-edge EXAFS data of HY/(**1**) (Figure 1B) show reduced co-ordination to Cl (Ge–Cl_{1.3} at 2.20 Å) and increased co-ordination to oxygen at a longer distance (Ge–O_{1.8} at 2.13 Å) which indicates that the complex (**1**) has attached to the zeolite framework oxygen atoms, according to equation (1).



The corresponding Mo-edge data of HY/(**1**) show a moderate reduction of the average Mo-carbonyl co-ordination number from 5 to 3, indicating that even the highly acidic environment of the HY host attacks only a minor fraction of the precursor (**1**). A distinct Ge–Mo interaction at 2.70 Å (Ge- and Mo-edges, co-ordination numbers *ca.* 1) shows that the binuclear complex is maintained intact in the zeolite cage




Figure 1. Ge-edge EXAFS data. k^2 -weighted Fourier transformations (FT) of A, $\text{Cl}_2(\text{thf})\text{GeMo}(\text{CO})_5$ precursor; B, $\text{Cl}_2(\text{thf})\text{GeMo}(\text{CO})_5$ loaded into acid zeolite HY at room temperature; and C, heated at 520 K. The FTs are uncorrected for phase shifts, thus bond distances appear to be reduced by 0.3–0.5 Å.

system. A small additional fraction of Mo-Mo_{0.7} at 2.15 Å is observed. Apparently, the acidic environment transforms some of the precursor molecules into multiply bonded Mo-Mo species, in analogy to the formation of Mo₂ compounds from Mo(CO)₆ under acidic conditions.⁸ In contrast, no Mo-Mo species are detected in partially acidic zeolite Y or NaY hosts, and the average Mo-CO co-ordination number remains higher.

Experiments exploring the thermal stability of the intra-zeolite Ge-Mo complex demonstrate drastic changes in the EXAFS data after heating at 520 K. At the Ge-edge, the most striking changes are cleavage of the Ge-Mo co-ordination, and an enhanced outer-shell contribution associated with backscattering from the zeolite framework metals (Figure 1C). The fit for the nearest Ge-co-ordination results in Ge-O_{2.0} at 1.98 Å and Ge-Cl_{1.1} at 2.17 Å, while the zeolite framework co-ordination appears at a distance of 3.23 Å, typical for metals co-ordinated to zeolite 6-ring and 4-ring ion-exchange sites. The Mo-edge data of the heated samples show several convoluted shells indicating Mo-Mo species (e.g., Mo-Mo_{0.7} at 2.20 Å) and Mo co-ordination to cation-exchange sites (Mo-O_{1.1} at 1.78 Å). Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) MS data reveal exclusive evolution of CO up to ca. 360 K and a small amount of HCl at

higher temperatures. These observations show that the Mo and Ge metal fragments must be retained in the zeolite host.

We thank the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research. The operational funds for NSLS beamline X-11A are supported by DOE grant DE-AS0580ER10742.

Received, 10th July 1989; Com. 9/02904D

References

- 1 D. C. Bailey and S. H. Langer, *Chem. Rev.*, 1981, **81**, 109.
- 2 T. Bein, S. J. McLain, D. R. Corbin, R. D. Farlee, K. Moller, G. D. Stucky, G. Woolery, and D. Sayers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 1801.
- 3 L.-F. Rao, A. Fukuoka, and M. Ichikawa, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 458.
- 4 N. Herron, G. D. Stucky, and C. A. Tolman, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1986, **20**, 1521.
- 5 D. F. Taylor, B. E. Hanson, and M. E. Davis, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **128**, 55.
- 6 K. Moller, A. Borvornwattananont, and T. Bein, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1989, **93**, 4562; 4205.
- 7 H. Behrens, M. Moll, and E. Sixtus, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 1977, **32**, 1105.
- 8 J. L. Templeton, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **26**, 211.